The influence of excitation of the 4f-orbital of $Eu(fod)_3$ on complex formation with adamantanone and anomalous enhancement of Eu^{3+} luminescence under the action of H₂O and D₂O molecules in toluene

S. S. Ostakhov, A. I. Voloshin, V. P. Kazakov,* and N. M. Shavaleev

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Ufa Research Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 71 prosp. Oktyabrya, 450054 Ufa, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 (347 2) 35 6066

The influence of excitation of the 4f-orbital of β -diketonate Eu(fod)_j (fod is heptafluorodimethyloctanedione) on the formation of the coordination bond with adamantanone (1) was studied by the nonradiative energy transfer technique. The kinetic parameters of fluorescence (FL) and the lifetime (τ) of the Eu³⁺ ion in toluene solutions were studied. The increase in the stability of the Eu(fod)_j · 1 complex when f--f-transitions of the Eu³⁺ ion are excited is related to an increase in the acceptor capability of Eu(fod)_j due to the increasing fraction of the covalent component determined by the participation of 4f-orbitals. An unexpected effect of enhancement of the Eu(fod)_j fluorescence under the action of H₂O (D₂O) molecules in toluene solutions was observed. The effect is assumed to be caused by an increase in the negative inductive effect when outer-sphere associates with the fluorinated radical of β -diketonate are formed. The mechanisms of the influence of electron-donating inner-sphere ligands and outer-sphere associates on the quantum yield of fluorescence of Eu(fod)_j are discussed.

Key words: fluorescence, nonradiative energy transfer; Eu(11) β -diketonate, adamantanone, complex formation.

Many works^{1,2} have been devoted to studying the factors affecting the intensity of luminescence of f-ftransitions of lanthanide ions (Ln³⁺), including those concerning mechanisms of nonradiative energy transfer (NET).^{3,4} When the effect of the ligand nature on the quantum yield (Φ) of fluorescence (FL) of Ln^{III} tris- β diketonates is studied, the main role in the decrease of dissipation excitation energy losses is ascribed to steric or electron-donor properties.⁵ At the same time, the character of the metal-ligand bond, which depends⁶ in many aspects on the donation of the electron density of the unoccupied 4f-orbital to the valence orbitals of the Ln³⁺ ion, is one of the substantial factors determining the quantum yield of FL of Ln^{III} chelates. It is known that an increase in the covalent component of Ln^{3+} ligand bonds caused by the participation of 4f-orbitals is accompanied by the enhancement of FL of lanthanide ions.⁵ In this connection, it was of interest to study the effect of excitation of the 4f-orbital of the Eu(fod)₃ chelate (fod is heptafluorodimethyloctanedione) on the formation of the coordination bond with monoketone (adamantanone (1)) and to study the kinetic parameters and lifetime (τ) of FL of Eu(fod)₃ in toluene solutions containing additives of 1 or water.

Experimental

The lifetime of Eu^{3+*} in the excited state was measured on an LIF-200 laser pulse fluorimeter; the radiation intensity (1) and FL spectra of $Eu(fod)_3$ were measured on a Hitachi MPF-4 spectrofluorimeter; and absorption spectra were recorded on a Specord M-40 spectrophotometer. The intensity of chemiluminescence (CL) was determined on the previously described installation.⁷ Adamantylideneadamantane-1,2-dioxetane (2) was synthesized by the known procedure.⁸ Anhydrous Eu(fod)₃ was obtained by heating of the reaction product at 370 K *in vacuo* for 4 h. Toluene was purified by refluxing over Na and distillation. Adamantanone (1) (pure grade) was purified by chromatography followed by sublimation. The quantum yield of luminescence of $Eu(fod)_3$ was determined relatively to $Eu(tta)_3 \cdot phen (tta is thenoyltrifluoroacetone, phen is$ 1,10-phenanthroline).⁹

Results and Discussion

The observed increase in both I and τ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ in the presence of 1 is evidence of the higher value of the quantum yield of FL of Eu³⁺ ions in the [Eu(fod)₃ · 1] complex than that in the starting complex. The possibility of formation of this complex has been

Translated from Izvestiya Akademii Nauk. Seriya Khimicheskaya, No. 8, pp. 1511-1515, August, 1998.

^{1066-5285/98/4708-1466 \$20.00 © 1998} Plenum Publishing Corporation

Table 1. Kinetic parameters, Φ , and τ of FL upon excitation of Eu⁽(fod)₃ (5 · 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) at different concentrations of I in toluene at T = 290 K

$[1] \cdot 10^{3}$	τ ₀ /με	τ/τ ₀	I/I ₀	Ф (%)	k _{nr}	<u>k</u> e	Te /us
71101 L	-γµs			(20)	د 		7 μ3
-	200	1.0	1.0	4.3	4800		
2.5	260	1.3	1.2	5.5	3700	-	
5.0	290	1.4	1.4	6.0	3300	210	4760
10	320	1.6	1.6	7.0	2900		~
25	370	1.8	1.8	8.0	2500		
50	400	2.0	2.0	8.6	2300		

Note, $\lambda_{ex} = 465$ nm. Average error $\pm 10\%$.

confirmed previously.¹⁰ The exponential character of FL decay of Eu(fod)₃ in the presence of 1 indicates a fast ligand exchange in the [Eu(fod)₃ · 1] complex. The position of the bands in the absorption spectra and the ratio of intensities of radiative transitions of FL of Eu(fod)₃ at 298 K remain unchanged when 1 is added. The character of changes in the FL intensity is independent of the wavelength of luminescence excitation (λ_{ex}/nm : 350, 465, and 536).

The quantum yield of luminescence of Eu(fod)₃ in toluene in the absence of 1 (Φ_0) at 290 K is equal to 4.3%. Based on the ratios $\Phi = \Phi_0 I/I_0$ and $\Phi = \Phi_0 \tau/\tau_0$, we determined the values of quantum yields of FL of $Eu(fod)_3$ at different concentrations of 1, and the rate constants of radiative ($k_e = \Phi/\tau$) and nonradiative ($k_{nr} =$ $1/\tau - k_e$) deactivation and the radiative lifetime ($\tau_e =$ $1/k_{\rm e}$) of FL of Eu(fod)₃ (Table 1) were calculated from the ratios $\Phi = k_e/(k_e + k_{nr})$ and $\tau = (k_e + k_{nr})^{-1}$. As can be seen in Table 1, k_e remains unchanged as the concentration of 1 increases, and τ_e of FL of Eu³⁺ is close to the value of 5 ms determined previously.11 Thus, the increase in Φ of luminescence of Eu(fod)₃ is caused only by a decrease in nonradiative losses when toluene molecules are displaced by adamantanone from the sphere of the Eu³⁺ ion quenching.

The Φ_1 and k_{nr}^1 values for the $[\text{Eu}(\text{fod})_3 \cdot 1]^*$ complex can be obtained by the extrapolation of the Φ and k_{nr} values to $[1]_0 \rightarrow \infty$. The plots of changes in Φ and k_{nr} can be satisfactorily (r = 0.999) linearized in the coordinates $1/(\Phi - \Phi_0)$ and $1/(k_{nr}^0 - k_{nr})$ depending on $1/[1]_0$ (here Φ_0 and k_{nr}^0 are the parameters in the absence of 1, and $[1]_0$ is the initial concentration of 1) (Fig. 1). $\Phi_1 = 10\%$ and $k_{nr}^{-1} = 2200 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were determined from the intercept on the Y-axis, and the lifetime of FL of the $[\text{Eu}(\text{fod})_3 \cdot 1]^*$ complex, equal to 410 µs, was determined from the expression $1/\tau_1 = k_e + k_{nr}^{-1}$.

It has been previously proposed¹² to estimate the number of molecular groups added to the Ln^{3+} ion from the change in the τ and I values during complex formation. Then this method has been repeatedly used for determination of the parameters of complex formation of Ln^{3+} and UO_2^{2+} ions with organic substrates¹³⁻¹⁵ and inorganic ions^{16,17} in solutions. The fast ligand



Fig. 1. Dependences of $\Phi(I)$ and $k_{nr}(2)$ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ (5·10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) on the concentration [1]₀ in toluene at 290 K.

exchange and the constant value of k_c are the main conditions for applicability of the NET method.³ As shown above, these requirements are fulfilled in the system studied. When a complex of Eu(fod)₃ with one adamantanone molecule in the excited state is formed, the following equation is fulfilled:^{18,19}

$$\frac{\tau\tau_0}{\tau-\tau_0} = \frac{\tau_1\tau_0}{\tau_1-\tau_0} + \frac{\tau_1\tau_0}{\tau_1-\tau_0} \cdot \frac{1}{K^*[1]} , \qquad (1)$$

where \mathcal{K} is the stability constant of the $[\operatorname{Eu}(\operatorname{fod})_3 \cdot 1]^*$ complex, τ_0 is the lifetime of Eu^{3+} in the absence of a ligand, τ_1 is the lifetime of FL of the $[\operatorname{Eu}(\operatorname{fod})_3 \cdot 1]^*$ complex, τ is the observed time of luminescence decay after the equilibrium concentrations of the complexes in the excited state were established, and [1] is the equilibrium concentration of adamantanone. The formation of the 1 : 2 complex of $\operatorname{Eu}(\operatorname{fod})_3$ with 1 can be neglected²⁰ at the initial concentrations $[\operatorname{Eu}(\operatorname{fod})_3] = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ and $[1] = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} - 5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$.

The equilibrium concentration of 1 was calculated using the values of the stability constant of the $Eu(fod)_3 \cdot 1$ complex in the ground state. These constants were determined by the method²¹ of studying CL quenching during thermolysis of dioxetane 2.

$$I_0/I = 1 + K[1]_0/(1 + K_1 [Eu(fod)_3]_0)$$
 (2)

Here I and I_0 are the CL intensities in the presence and absence of 1, respectively; $[Eu(fod)_{3}]_0$ and $[1]_0$ are the initial concentrations of the reagents; and K_1 ($\Delta H =$ -18.8 kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta S =$ -33.4 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)²² and K are the stability constants of the $[Eu(fod)_3 \cdot 2]$ and $[Eu(fod)_3 \cdot 1]$ complexes, respectively. The parameters of complex formation of Eu(fod)₃ in the ground state with 1 in toluene are presented below, and the corre-

Table 2. Parameters of complex formation of Eu(fod)3 in the excited state with adamantanone in toluene $Eu^{*}(fod)_{3} + 1 \implies [Eu(fod)_{3} \cdot 1]^{*}$

T/K	τ0	τ _l	K•	
	μs		/L mol ⁻¹	
280	220	430	360	
290	190	410	415	
300	160	370	500	
310	130	350	625	
320	105	320	790	
330	90	270	990	

Note. $\Delta H^{*}_{290} = 19.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. $\Delta S^{*}_{290} = 115.0 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. Average error $\pm 10\%$.

sponding parameters in the case of the excited state are given in Table 2.

$$Eu(fod)_{3} + I \implies [Eu(fod)_{3} \cdot I]$$

$$\Delta H_{300} = -22.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta S_{300} = -30.0 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$$

$$T/K \qquad 280 \qquad 290 \qquad 300 \qquad 310 \qquad 320 \qquad 330$$

$$K/L \text{ mol}^{-1} \qquad 310 \qquad 220 \qquad 165 \qquad 120 \qquad 100 \qquad 75$$

The values of K and τ_1 of FL of the [Eu(fod)₃ · 1]^{*} complex in the excited state were determined from the slope of the linear anamorphosis of Eq. (1), and the thermodynamic parameters of complex formation of 1 with $Eu(fod)_3^*$ (see Table 2) were determined from the temperature dependence of K° (Fig. 2).

As can be seen in Fig. 2, the excitation of the Eu³⁺ ion changes the thermodynamics of complex formation. Despite the fact that the complex formation of Eu (fod)₃ with 1 is the endothermic process ($\Delta H^{*} > 0$), the free Gibbs energy is negative in the temperature range stud-



Fig. 2. Temperature dependences of stability constants of complexes with 1 for $Eu(fod)_3$ in excited (K) (1) and ground (K) (2) states in toluene.

Ostakhov et al.

[Eu(fod)₃ · 1] complex in the ground state. An increase in the stability of the [Eu(fod)₃ · 1]^{*} complex during excitation of the f-f-transitions of the Eu³⁺ ion is most likely related to enhancement of the acceptor ability of the europium chelate due to an increase in the fraction of the covalent component caused by the participation of the 4f-orbitals. The values of lifetimes τ_1 at 290 K of the [Eu(fod), 1]^{*} complex obtained by different methods coincide (see Table 2), which shows the high accuracy of the NET method for studying complex formation of Ln^{III} chelates in solutions.

The temperature dependences of τ_0 of Eu(fod)₃^{*} and τ_1 of $[Eu(fod)_3 \cdot 1]^*$ (see Table 2) are described satisfactorily by the expression²³

$$\ln(1/\tau^{i} - 1/\tau_{e}) = \ln A^{i} - E_{a}^{i}/(RT), \qquad (3)$$

where τ^{i} are the current values of τ_{0} and τ_{1} at the T temperature, τ_{e} is the radiative lifetime of Eu^{3+•} (4.8 ms), and A^i and E_a^i are the pre-exponential factor and the activation energy of processes of nonradiative deactivation of FL of Eu^{*}(fod)₃ and [Eu(fod)₃ · 1]^{*}. The activation parameters of the temperature dependences of τ_0 and τ_1 calculated from Eq. (3) are the following,: $E_a^{0} = 15.5\pm2.0$ kJ mol⁻¹ (logA⁰ = 6.5±0.8) and $E_a^{-1} = 6.7\pm1.0$ kJ mol⁻¹ (log A^1 = 4.6±0.6), respectively. The decrease in dissipation excitation energy losses in the $[Eu(fod)_3 \cdot 1]^*$ complex as compared to that for $[Eu(fod)_3]^*$ $(E_a^{-1} < E_a^{-0})$ is most likely due to two reasons: first, a decrease in the number of toluene molecules with a high deactivating ability in the nearest environment of the Eu³⁺ ion; second, an increase in the efficiency of the electron energy transfer to the Eu³⁺ ion due to the coordination of the donating ligand, adamantanone.⁵

It is known^{3,24} that among H- and D-containing solvents, H₂O molecules possess the maximum capability of deactivating FL of Eu³⁺. However, we discovered that the addition of H₂O to a dehydrated toluene solution of Eu(fod)₃ results in the enhancement of FL of Eu³⁺ rather than quenching. The dependences of I and τ of FL of $Eu(fod)_3$ on the concentration of H_2O are parallel and have a tendency to saturation (Fig. 3). Similarly to 1, the decay of FL of Eu(fod)₃ in the presence of H₂O is exponential. At the same time, the addition of H_2O results in a decrease in the molar extinction coefficient of Eu(fod)3 in the absorption bands of the ligand and Eu³⁺ ion, while the position of the bands and the I ratio in the FL spectra remain unchanged (Fig. 4). The character of changes in I and τ of FL of Eu(fod)₃, unlike 1, depends on the wavelength of luminescence excitation. When the ${}^{5}D_{2}$ state of the Eu^{3+} ion is excited (465 nm), the increase in FL is 1.4 times lower than that for excitation of the first singlet level of the ligand (350 nm) (Table 3).

It is known²⁵ that β -diketonate in the Eu(fod)₃ chelate is not hydrolyzed, and the results obtained can most likely be explained by either the change in symmetry of



Fig. 3. Dependences of Φ (1, 1') and τ (2, 2') of FL of Eu(fod)₃ (5 · 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) on the concentrations of H₂O (1, 2) and D₂O (1', 2') in toluene at 338 K. L = H₂O or D₂O.



Fig. 4. Absorption (I, ι') and FL (2, 2') spectra upon excitation $(\lambda_{ex} = 350 \text{ nm})$ of Eu(fod)₃ $(5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mol } L^{-1})$ in the absence of H₂O (I, 2) and in the presence of $2 \cdot 10^{-2} M$ H₂O in toluene (I', 2') at 338 K.

the environment of the central ion (which should result in an increase in the probability of radiative transitions²) or the formation of associates of H₂O with β -diketonate. The latter assumption is favored due to the stronger increase in Φ for excitation at 350 nm and the unchanged character of k_c . It is most likely that the addition of H₂O leads to hydration of the fluorinated β -diketonate radical to form the hydrogen bond R-F...HOH. It has been proposed²⁵ that the water

Ligand	τ/τ ₀	Φ/Φ ₀	Ф (%)	k _{nr}	k _e	τ
				s ⁻ⁱ		/µs
H ₂ O	2.5	2.5	4.3	4900	220	190
D_2O	3.0	4.5	7.0	3700	280	250

Note. $\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm. The values $\Phi_0 = 0.016$ and $k_{nr}^0 = 12800 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the absence of H₂O and D₂O (338 K) were calculated from Eq. (3) at the previously determined values of E_a^0 , A^0 , τ_{er} and k_{er} . $\tau_0 = 80 \ \mu s$. Average error $\pm 10\%$.

molecules are linked to electronegative groups in the ligand rather than coordinated to the Ln³⁺ ion. We found that the addition of water to a solution of $Eu(fod)_3$ in toluene at 338 K results in the formation of crystals that are dissolved upon prolonged (30 min) heating of the solution, which points indirectly to the stability of the nH_2O -fod associates. The hydration of fluorinated β -diketonate in Eu(fod)₃ probably results in an enhancement of the negative inductive effect accompanied by a decrease in donation of electrons to O atoms and, as a consequence, by an increase in the ionic character of the Eu³⁺- β -diketonate bond. On the other hand, it is known⁵ that the enhancement of the ionic extent of the Eu³⁺- β -diketonate bond results in a decrease in the degradation of the electron excitation of Eu³⁺ to vibrational components of the environment and increases the probability of NET from the triplet level of the ligand to the excited levels of the Eu³⁺ ion. The absence of an increase in Φ and τ of FL of europium(III) trisdipivaloylmethane (DPM) when water is added $(\tau[Eu(DPM)_3] = \tau[Eu(DPM)_3 \cdot nH_2O] = 325 \ \mu s, \ tolu$ ene, 338 K) is an argument in favor of the fact that the interaction of H_2O molecules with β -diketonate is directed to the fluorinated radical. Thus, the results obtained indicate the possibility of using NET for studying complex formation in the external coordination sphere of Ln3+ ions.

In the study of the influence of the character of the chemical Eu^{3+} —ligand bond on the quantum yield of FL of $Eu(fod)_3$, two competing processes should be taken into account: the inductive-resonance NET on vibrations of the O-H bond in the first coordination sphere of the Eu^{3+} ion and the inductive effect caused by the formation of exosphere associates of H₂O with β -diketonate (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

$$Eu(fod)_{3} + nH_{2}O \implies [Eu(fod)_{3} \cdot H_{2}O] \cdot (n - 1)H_{2}O$$

$$hv \int 1/\tau_{0} \qquad hv \int 1/\tau$$

$$Eu^{*}(fod)_{3} + nH_{2}O \implies [Eu^{*}(fod)_{3} \cdot H_{2}O] \cdot (n - 1)H_{2}O$$



Fig. 5. Dependences of $\Phi/\Phi_0(1, 1')$ and $\tau/\tau_0(2, 2')$ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ (5 · 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹) on concentrations of H₂O (1, 2) and D₂O (1', 2') in toluene at 338 K. L = H₂O or D₂O.

Thus, the measured effective rate constant of NET is the sum of the rate constants of both processes $(k_{nr} = k_{nr}^{1} + k_{ur}^{2})$. The transition from H₂O to D₂O should be expected to result in a significant change in k_{nr}^{1} due to a decrease in the frequency of stretching vibrations when protium $(v_{3}^{H} \approx 3400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ is replaced by deuterium $(v_{3}^{D} \approx 2250 \text{ cm}^{-1})$.^{3,26} In fact, when D₂O is added, the increase in I and τ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ is higher than that in the case of H₂O (see Fig. 3). In addition, in the presence of D₂O, the intensity and τ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ do not increase in parallel $(\Phi/\Phi_0 \neq \tau/\tau_0)$ (see Table 3), which indicates a change in k_e .

The plots of changes in Φ and τ of FL of Eu(fod)₃ are satisfactorily (r = 0.98) linearized in the coordinates $\Phi(L)/\Phi_0$ and $\tau(L)/\tau_0$ depending on $[L]^{-1}$ $(L = H_2O)$, D₂O) (Fig. 5). The F, k_{nr} , k_e , and τ values at the concentrations of H₂O and D₂O tending to infinity (see Table 3) were obtained from the intercepts on the Y-axis and expressions used in determining the kinetic parameters of luminescence of $Eu(fod)_1$ in the presence of 1. It can be seen in Table 3 that an increase in Φ of Eu(fod)₃ is determined by a decrease in k_{nr} , whereas $k_{\rm e} = {\rm const}$ for H₂O or its changes are incommensurably small as compared to k_{nr} for D₂O. Thus, the deuteration effect $\Phi(D_2O)/\Phi(H_2O) \approx 2$ (see Table 3) is caused to a great extent by a change in the probability of NET in the first coordination sphere of the Eu³⁴ ion rather than an increase in k_e . Taking into account the data^{3,26} according to which the partial quenching constant of FL of Eu^{3+} by the O-H bond varies within 400-550 s⁻¹ and the difference between $k_{nr}(D_2O)$ and $k_{nr}(H_2O)$ is 1200 s⁻¹ (see Table 3), we can reasonably assume the formation of monohydrate $Eu(fod)_3 \cdot H_2O$.

Thus, the data obtained demonstrate the possibilities of the NET technique for studying inner- and outersphere complex formation of Ln^{3+} ions in solutions; it was shown that the values of dissipation losses of luminescence can be varied by the selection of ligands differing in electron-donor and steric properties.

This work was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project No. 96-03-33871a).

References

- V. L. Ermolaev, E. I. Bodunov, E. B. Sveshnikova, and T. A. Shakhverdov, Bezyzluchatel'nyi perenos energii vozbuzhdeniya [Nonrodiative Transfer of Excitation Energy], Nauka, Leningrad, 1977, 311 pp. (in Russian).
- M. I. Gaiduk, V. F. Zolin, and L. S. Gaigerova, Spektry lyuminestsentsii evropiya [Luminescence Spectra of Europium], Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 195 pp. (in Russian).
- 3. V. L. Ermolaev and E. B. Sveshnikova, Usp. Khim., 1994, 63, 962 [Russ. Chem. Rev., 1994, 63 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 4. V. L. Ermolaev, E. B. Sveshnikova, and E. N. Bodunov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk, 1996, 166, 279 [Russ. Phys. Rev., 1996, 166 (Engl. Transl.)].
- V. E. Karasev, A. G. Mirochnik, and E. N. Murav'ev, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, 1984, 29, 259 [J. Inorg. Chem. USSR, 1984, 29 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 6. E. F. Kustov, G. A. Bandurkin, E. N. Murav'ev, and V. P. Orlovskii, Elektronnye spektry soedinenii redkozemel'nykh elementov [Electronic Spectra of Compounds of Rare-Earth Elements], Nauka, Moscow, 1981, 303 pp. (in Russian).
- G. L. Sharipov, V. P. Kazakov, and G. A. Tolstikov, *Khimiya i khemilyuminestsentsiya 1,2-dioksetanov {Chemis- try and Chemiluminescence of 1,2-Dioxetanes*}, Nauka, Mos-cow, 1990, 288 pp. (in Russian).
- G. B. Schuster, N. J. Turro, H.-C. Steinmetzer, A. P. Schaap, G. Faler, W. Adam, and J. C. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 7110.
- 9. R. A. Gundmundsen, O. J. Marsh, and E. Matovich, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 39, 272.
- K. B. Yatsimirskii, N. A. Kostromina, Z. A. Sheka, N. K. Davidenko, E. E. Kriss, and V. I. Ermolenko, *Khimiya* kompleksnykh soedinenii redkozemel'nykh elementov [Chemistry of Complex Compounds of Rare-Earth Elements], Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1966, 493 pp. (in Russian).
- 11. G. Stein and E. Wurzberg, J. Chem. Phys., 1975, 62, 208.
- 12. G. L. Kropp and M. W. Windsor, J. Phys. Chem., 1967, 71, 477.
- 13. E. B. Sveshnikova, V. F. Morina, and V. L. Ermolaev, Optika i spektroskopiya [Optics and Spectroscopy], 1974, 36, 725 (in Russian).
- 14. S. S. Ostakhov, A. I. Voloshin, V. P. Kazakov, and I. A. Khusainova, *Khim. Fiz.*, 1997, 16, 84 [*Chem. Phys. Reports*, 1997, 16 (Engl. Transl.)].
- S. S. Ostakhov, A. I. Voloshin, V. P. Kazakov, and I. A. Khusainova, *Khim. Fiz.*, 1997, 16, 79 [*Chem. Phys. Reports*, 1997, 16 (Engl. Transl.)].
- M. D. Marcantonatos, M. Deschaux, and J. J. Vuilleumier, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1981, 82, 36.
- S. S. Ostakhov, V. P. Kazakov, D. D. Afonichev, and V. V. Rykova, *Radiokhimiya*, 1995, 37, 503 [*Radiochemistry*, 1995, 37 (Engl. Transl.)].

- N. Sh. Ableeva, A. I. Voloshin, S. S. Ostakhov, V. P. Kazakov, and G. L. Tolstikov, *Khim. Fiz.*, 1996, 15, 34 [*Chem. Phys. Reports*, 1996, 15, 345 (Engl. Transl.)].
- V. P. Gruzdev, Zh. Neorg. Khim., 1977, 22, 3208 [J. Inorg. Chem. USSR, 1977, 22 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 20. D. J. Raber, M. D. Johnston, C. M. Janks, J. W. Perry, and G. F. Jackson, Organ. Magnet. Resonance, 1980, 14, 32.
- 21. A. I. Voloshin, G. L. Sharipov, V. P. Kazakov, and G. A. Tolstikov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 1992, 1056 [Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci., Div. Chem. Sci., 1992, 41, 828 (Engl. Transl.)].
- N. Sh. Ableeva, A. I. Voloshin, E. V. Vasil'eva, G. L. Sharipov, L. M. Khalilov, V. P. Kazakov, and G. A. Tolstikov, *Kinet. Katal.*, 1993, 34, 881 [*Kinet. Catal.*, 1993, 34 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 23. Y. Haas and G. Stein, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 3677.
- 24. V. S. Tachin, V. L. Ermolaev, and E. N. Bodunov, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, 1975, 20, 341 [J. Inorg. Chem. USSR, 1975, 20 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 25. D. J. Karraker, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1971, 33, 3713.
- 26. J. L. Kropp and M. W. Windsor, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 42, 1599.

Received May 26, 1997; in revised form December 5, 1997